

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

AMADEO SANCHEZ,	)	3:11-cv-00310-LRH-WGC
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b><u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u></b>
	)	
vs.	)	May 14, 2012
	)	
NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF	)	
CORRECTIONS, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

**MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:**

Before the court is defendants' Motion to Strike. (Doc. #47.) Defendants request that plaintiff's Reply to Defendants' Reply to Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. #45), which defendants have characterized as a surreply, be stricken as a fugitive document.

Pursuant to this court's order (Doc. #43), the plaintiff was afforded an opportunity to file a supplemental opposition. The court considers plaintiff's improperly entitled "reply to reply" (Doc. #45) to be his supplemental opposition and is properly before the court. The defendants may file a responsive memorandum on or before May 24, 2012.

However, the court agrees with defendants that plaintiff's comments at page 4 of his "reply" are inappropriate and scandalous and **shall be stricken** from plaintiff's supplemental opposition. Plaintiff is admonished to refrain from making such comments in the future or be subject to sanctions.

Defendants' motion (Doc. #47) insofar as it requests the court to strike document #45 is **DENIED**. In accordance with the Order (Doc. #43), defendants' reply to plaintiff's supplemental opposition is due on May 24, 2012.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/  
Deputy Clerk